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Methods:
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Figure Flowchart

Number figures in the order in which they are discussed, and give description here.

If superscripts are used within figure, specify what they represent.

To adhere to current manuscript standards, please lowercase “n”.

Find this figure in the open-access research full report at:

**Figure 1** New York State ExPS Pilot Project Outcomes Abbreviations: ExPS, Expanded Partner Services; NYS, New York State; PLWH, persons living with diagnosed HIV infection.

*This subset of out-of-jurisdiction cases constitute the “not eligible for ExPS Intervention” comparison group.
FIGURE 1 Number and Percentage of Cooling Towers From Multifamily Housing Units That Tested Positive for Legionella Over Time in Garland, Texas

Abbreviation: HVAC, heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning.

*Between 2005 and 2007, there were 18 cooling towers. The number of cooling towers decreased over time as multifamily housing units replaced aging HVAC systems; 17 cooling towers in 2008, 16 cooling towers in 2009, 15 cooling towers in 2011, and 14 cooling towers in 2012-2015. Since the number of cooling towers in 2010 is unknown, a value of 15.5 was assigned.

Find this figure in the open-access research full report at
Figure 1: Usefulness Rating by Surveillance Report

In the survey, “Don't know” was described as: “I haven't used the report yet, but I might use it in the future.”

In the survey, “Don't use” was described as: “I don't need to use the report at all.”

Find this figure in the open-access research full report at
## TABLE Expanded Partner Services Cohort Determined to Be Truly Out of Care and Successfully Relinked to Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Re_linked to Care</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>&lt;.001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>&lt;.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM/IDU</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown or missing</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:**
- IDU: intravenous drug user
- MSM: men who had sex with men

*Represents row percent.
*Includes females presumed heterosexual contact.
*Test for trend.

If superscripts are used within table, specify what they represent.

If \( P \geq .01 \), express \( P \) values to 2 digits, regardless of whether it’s significant or not.

If \( P < .01 \), express it as an actual \( P \) value to 3 digits, unless \( p < .001 \).

Can leave \( P \) value to 3 digits if rounding to 2 digits would make it nonsignificant.

---

Find this table in the open-access research full report at
[https://journals.lww.com/jphmp/Fulltext/2017/11000/Implementation_of_a_Legionella_Ordinance_for.10.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/jphmp/Fulltext/2017/11000/Implementation_of_a_Legionella_Ordinance_for.10.aspx)
Implications for Policy & Practice

- Inspection results following reports from tweeters were consistent with inspection results from other types of reporting.
- There was room for improvement in the system used to identify relevant tweets.
- Geographically proximate health departments may wish to partner on Twitter use for food safety.

Discussion and Conclusion

Supplemental Digital Content

...and by phone (n=16) (see Figure 1 Supplemental Digital Content, available at http://links.lww.com/JPHMP/A280).

Note: Each supplemental figure/table must be referenced in-text
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